Proceedings of the 2024 Asset Integrity Management of Critical Infrastructure Conference AIM-CI2024 January 29-31, 2024, Orlando, Florida

AIM-CI2024-119506

RESPONSES OF PIPELINES IMPACTED BY GEOHAZARDS AND EFFECTIVE INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

000

Yong-Yi Wang. Ph.D. CRES Dublin, OH Dan Jia, Ph.D. CRES Dublin, OH Banglin Liu CRES Dublin. OH David Warman CRES Tyler, TX

ABSTRACT

Geohazards are a major contributor to pipeline incidents. Numerous incidents attributable to geotechnical hazards are listed in advisory bulletins from US DOT PHMSA. In addition to geohazards, hydrotechnical and seismic hazards can damage or cause loss of containment in pipelines.

A pipeline incident occurs when the demand on a pipeline segment exceeds its capacity. The demand is the loading imposed on the pipeline segment, while the capacity is the ability of the pipeline segment to resist the demand before an undesirable event, such as a leak or rupture, occurs. In the context of geohazard-imposed loading, the most relevant demand and capacity are in a pipeline's longitudinal/axial direction.

This paper starts with a few real-world examples of pipeline incidents where geohazards were identified as one of the major contributing factors. The geohazards which provide the strain demand and girth weld characteristics which dominate the capacity are highlighted for each incident. The paper then turns to the fundamentals and key concepts of integrity-centric geohazards management and contrasts this approach with more traditional geohazards management techniques. The other foundational technology to this approach, strain-based FFS (fitness-for-service) assessment is also introduced.

The paper closes with some key elements and steps for the implementation of integrity-centric geohazards management. Practical guidance is provided so pipeline operators can utilize resources that are likely to make the biggest difference.

Keywords: pipelines, geohazards, integrity management

NOMENCLATURE

CSC	Compressive strain capacity
C-SCC	Circumferential stress-corrosion cracking
CSC _{ML}	Compressive strain capacity associated with maximum load

CSC _{PML}	compressive strain capacity associated with
	post-maximum-load
D/t	Diameter to wall thickness ratio
DSAW	Double submerged arc weld
ERW	Electric resistance weld
FFS	Fitness-for-service
HAZ	Heat-affected zone
HDD	Horizontal directional drilling
ILI	In-line inspection
IMU	Inertial measurement unit
LFERW	Low frequency electric resistance weld
MTR	Material test report
OD	Outer diameter
PRCI	Pipeline Research Council International
RP	Recommended practice
SBA	Strain-based assessment
SC	Strain capacity
SCC	Stress-corrosion cracking
SD	Strain demand
SDL	Strain demand limit
SF	Safety factor
SMYS	Specified minimum yield stress
TSC	Tensile strain capacity
US DOT	United States Department of Transportation
PHMSA	Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety
	Administration
WT	Wall thickness
Y/T	Yield strength to ultimate tensile strength ratio